

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/966,902	HIROTA, MAKOTO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kristie D. Shingles	2141

All Participants:

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(1) Kristie D. Shingles, Examiner.

(3) _____

(2) Atty. Sungho Hong, Reg# 54,571.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 January 2008

Time: 5:15pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon Primary approval, Examiner notified Atty of the allowability of the pending claims, and thus requested authorization to perform an Examiner's Amendment to amend the claim language of Claim 9 for fulfilling the 101 requirements and for providing consistency with the specification which recites use of the computer "storage medium" as opposed to the claimed computer "readable medium". Atty authorized Examiner to replace "readable" with "storage" in the claim language, thus the claim has been amended accordingly.